



# Arctic Research Collaboration for Radiosonde Observing System Experiment (ARCROSE)

# Jun In<mark>oue</mark> (National Institute of Polar Research, Japan)

Inoue.jun@nipr.ac.jp

## Why are Arctic radiosonde data important ?

- ✓ improvements of weather & sea-ice forecasts over NSR
  - strong winds, high waves, icing caused by Arctic cyclones
- $\checkmark$  understanding the linkage between Arctic and mid-latitudes
  - > extreme events over Eurasia (e.g. severe winter)



# **Predictability studies for YOPP & MOSAiC**

✓ Frequent radiosonde obs. from ships & land stns.
 R/Vs Mirai & Polarstern, Ny-Alesund, etc.
 → Improvements of NWPs and reanalyses

✓ Data assimilation (DA)
 Observing System Experiment (OSE)
 → Evaluating the effect of intensive obs., and proposing a future observing network





#### Each country has each policy for soundings ...

**Canada**: Two additional soundings at Alert & Eureka during September 2014, and continuin onths (P. Edwards, personal comm. Soundings from ice breakers are also active (but offline data) Norway: Two additional soundings at Bear Island & Jan Mayan during winterafter IPY-THORPEX (Kristjansson et al. 2011 BAMS Two additional soundings at Barrow by ARM, but offline d US: Russia: ARRI wans to increase the frequency, but shortage of onos one resources. A new station, Ice Base Cape Baranova will be open Germany: Very active at Ny-Alesund and RV Polaritern (on-line data) Sweden: RV Oden (partly on-line d Korea: RV Araon (hopefully Japan: RV Mirai (on-line data) ver

# **Pilot Studies for YOPP & MOSAiC**

- Aug 2012 (RV Polarstern: a great cyclone case)
- Sep 2013 (RV Mirai, Ny-Ålesund, Alert & Eureka)
- Sep 2014 (RVs Polarstern, Mirai, & Oden; Ny-Ålesund, Alert & Eureka)



# ALEDAS: AFES-LETKF Ensemble Data Assimilation System



LETKF: Hunt et al. 2007; Miyoshi and Yamane 2007; Miyoshi et al. 2007 AFES: Numaguti et al. 1997; Ohfuchi et al. 2004; Enomoto et al. 2008



# ALERA2 features

- Larger ensemble size (from 40 to 63)
- Improved model physics (cloud and land surface etc)
- Covariance localization by distance
- High-res SST and ice (NOAA daily 1/4° OI SST)
- Publicly obtainable observations (prepbufr)
- Forecast values (precip, radiative and surface fluxes)





# Arctic Ocean Research using R/V Mirai





GTS status in NCEP ADP Global Upper Air Observations  $\rightarrow$  22 launches per day during ARCROSE



A good case to investigate the impact of ARCROSE data on the predictability of high pressure system

19 Sep 2013







### Setting for Observing System Experiments (OSE)

- Control reanalysis (63 members) was made by assimilating all ARCROSE data
- Observing System Experiment (OSE) reanalyses were made
- Ensemble forecast runs were conducted by using each reanalysis

	Mirai	Eureka		Alert		Ny-Alesund	
	IOP	IOP	operational	IOP	operational	IOP	operational
CTL	8	2	2	2	2	5	1
OSE <sub>MEAN</sub>	0	0	2	0	2	0	1
OSE <sub>M</sub>	0	2	2	2	2	5	1
OSE <sub>EA</sub>	8	0	2	0	2	5	1
OSE <sub>N</sub>	8	2	2	2	2	0	1
	JAMSTEC	Environment Canada		Environment Canada		AVVI 🎯	

#### The daily number of radiosondes used in OSE





# ARCROSE

# Prediction of sea-ice distribution (6 days forecast)

- ✓ Only sea-ice drift is considered (no thermodynamic sea-ice growth)
- ✓ CTL forecast: forced by CTL atmospheric field (with ARCROSE2013 data)
- ✓ OSE forecast: forced by OSE atmospheric field (w/o ARCROSE2013 data) 21Sept2013 (ERAI)





NSR tends to be closed by sea-ice advection in CTL forecast due to stronger wind speeds

## When and Where ?



#### JJA: Local effect over the Arctic

(local obs over the Arctic Ocean and coastal stations)

DJF: Remote effect from the Arctic to midlatitudes (obs at southern stations are desired too)



- ✓ Understanding seasonality in particular winter (e.g. N-ICE2015)
  ➢ predictions of extreme cold winters
- ✓ Collaboration with operational agencies (ECMWF, NCEP, etc)
  - They must have opinions for additional observations (e.g. Cost effective number of stations or obs frequency)
- ✓ Coordination of land stations (e.g. Alert & Eureka)
  - Russian stations ?
- ✓ Impact of atmospheric forecasts on sea-ice forecasts
  - More applied challenging works





The difference between CTL & OSE was found at different place from ARCROSE stations

Flow-dependent feature



Low skill cases: OSE<sub>MEAN</sub>, OSE<sub>M</sub> & OSE<sub>N</sub> ➤ Data from Mirai and Ny-Alesund is critical in this case



# Flow-dependent observation signals at 100 hPa

- Ensemble spread originated from ARCROSE stations traveled along the polar vortex (e.g. at 100hPa)
- Impacts of additional observations likely reach at midlatitudes



Cont.: Z100<sub>CTL</sub> Color: Ens. sprd diff between Z100<sub>CTL</sub>-Z100<sub>OSE\_MEAN</sub> Dots: trajectories of difference in ensemble spread between Z100<sub>CTL</sub> and Z100<sub>OSE\_MEAN</sub>

# **Ice-Ocean coupled model**

#### Ice component

- two category
- EVP (Hunke and Dukowicz, 1997) rheology
- Ice bunch & semi-Lagrangian scheme
- Without thermodynamics of sea ice

#### Ocean component

- Princeton Ocean Model
- 3D, primitive and continuum Eqs. with a hydrostatic approx.
- Horizontal resolution: 25 km
- Vertical level: 33 sigma layers
- Horizontal viscosity & diffusion: Smagorinsky (1963)
- Vertical viscosity & diffusion: Mellor and Yamada (1982)
- Drag coefficient between atmosphere and ocean: 3.7 x 10<sup>-3</sup> (Overland, 1985)



Model bathymetry (IBCAO+ETOPO1) and domain