Preliminary results for the Bering Sea and Pacific Sector of the Arctic Ocean

Gleb Panteleev, (IARC),

Collaborators:T.Kikuchi(JAMSTEC), M.Yaremchuk (NRL), J. Zhang(PMEL), O. Francis(UHM), J. Stroh(IARC), D. Nechaev (USM), V.Luchin (POI),...

Since October 2012...

Krakow, April 17, 2013

Outline:

- 1. IPY Database
- 2. Estimates of the transports in the northern Bering Sea: 2007,2008,...
- 3. Anomalous circulation regimes in the Pacific Sector of the Arctic Ocean during 2008
- 4. Toward operational hindcast of the circulation in the Chukchi Sea: optimized location of the HFR.
- 5. Conclusions
- 6. Future plans

IPY database



Norway	6758
Russia	2222
USA	968
Germany	691
Poland	572
Sweden	133
China	120
TOTAL	12003

Tally includes CTD, xCTD, and XBT/2 measurements.

ITP records as of 2012-11-01: 18287

IPY database



Data: velocity observations in the Bering Sea during the 2007-2010



- 1. N55, N40, N25, C55, c40, c25, S55, S40, S25 moorings (T.Weingartner and K. Aagard)
- 2. Bering Strait moorings (R. Woodgate)
- 3. Surface drifters (P.Stabeno, NOAA) 35m
- 4. ARGO drifters (1000m)



Preliminary results of the 2007,2008 Velocity, SSH





Preliminary results of the 2007 Transports







Preliminary results of the 2008 Transports





Preliminary results of the SIOM/BESTMASS 4Dvar DA Eastern Bering Sea, Resolution 15km





SSH, cm. Y=2008 M=4 D=23 h:m= 0:0



Increased transports through the Bering Strait.



Why?

2008

1.Hydrophysical data



2008 circulation





4Dvar analysis of the circulation in the Arctic Ocean





Satellite (Envisat) Sea Surface Height Anomaly (SSHA)



4Dvar SSH2008-4Dvar SSH1997-2006



AVISO_SSHA 2008-

AVISO_SSHA_2002-2006

AVISO_SSHA_2008

710













Bering Strait Transport (R.Woodgate)



Optimization of the High-Frequency Radar observations



Schematic showing desired coastal HFR observations in the Bering Strait region (Calder et al., 2009)

Adjoint sensitivity analysis: approach y = Mc

 $\begin{aligned} Q_1 &= \mathbf{L_1} \mathbf{M} c, Q_2 = \mathbf{L_2} \mathbf{M} c \\ \mid \delta Q_2 / \delta Q_1 \mid = \mid \mathbf{W} \mathbf{L_1} \mathbf{M} \mathbf{V} \mathbf{M}^{\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{L_2^{\mathbf{T}}} \mid \\ \mathsf{H} &= \partial^2 J / \partial c^2 \end{aligned}$

 $cov(Q_1, Q_2) = \mathbf{L_1}\mathbf{M}\mathbf{H^{-1}}\mathbf{M^T}\mathbf{L_2^T}$



Maps:

U,V fields,

transports,...

Adjoin

Forward

What kind of data cause the major changes in the "map"?

Optimal observations: HFR





Optimal observations: HFR Adjoint sensitivity analysis and OSSE's



Optimal observations: HFR for operational hindcast for the Bering Strait flow





Summary:

- 1. 2008 circulation in the Pacific Sector of the Arctic Ocean differs significantly from others circulations. It can be done in similar way for any years: 2011,2012,2013..
- 2. Combine analysis of the SSHA and reconstructed circulation shows significant decrease of the SSH during 2007-2009 that may explain the increased flow through the Bering Strait
- 3. Adjoint sensitivity analysis allows to construct optimal observational HFR network for the Bering Strait, and can be extended for the mooring observations

Thanks